## **Pupil premium strategy statement**

This statement details our school's use of pupil premium (and recovery premium for the 2021 to 2022 academic year) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils. It outlines our pupil premium 3 year strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and the effect that last year's spending of pupil premium had within our school.

#### **School overview**

Detail	Data
School name	Red Hill C of E Primary
Number of pupils in school	372 capacity
Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils	55= 15%
Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers (3 year plans are recommended)	2023-2026
Date this statement was published	5.3.23
Date on which it will be reviewed	1.12.23 annually
Statement authorised by	Jolanda Simmonds
Pupil premium lead	Head teacher
Governor / Trustee lead	Chair of governors

## **Funding overview**

Detail	Amount
Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year	£77050
Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year	£2175
Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable)	£0
Total budget for this academic year	£31,163
If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year	

### Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

#### Statement of intent

Our intention is that all pupils, irrespective of their background or the challenges they face, make good progress and achieve well across all subject areas. The focus of our pupil premium strategy is to support disadvantaged pupils to achieve that goal, including progress for those who are already high attainers.

At Red Hill C of E Primary, we are committed to all pupils making good or accelerated progress from their relative starting points. The aim is for all of our children to attain age-related standards or greater, whether they are categorised as disadvantaged or non-disadvantaged pupils. We ensure all of our teachers provide quality first teaching to all pupils. Pupil Premium children are identified in every class so that adults can check their understanding, check for misconceptions and give timely feedback to support accelerated progress.

#### Aims:

#### To improve outcomes for all disadvantaged pupils so that:

- The proportion of pupils attaining ARE or better in RWM combined compares favourably to disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils nationally.
- The increased gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupil in reading, writing and mathematics significantly closes.

#### To improve attendance for all disadvantaged pupils so that:

- Overall attendance improves and is, at least, in line with the national figure for all pupils.
- Persistent absence reduces significantly.

## To ensure all disadvantaged pupils receive the appropriate mental health and well-being support so that:

• They engage in their learning and as a result attainment improves and they make at least good progress.

#### To ensure there is equal access to enrichment opportunities so that:

Aspirations are raised for children's futures

## **Challenges**

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

Challenge number	Detail of challenge
1	PP attendance 88.35% is compared to 93.76% for Non-PP. Persistent absence for PP children is 40%.
2	<ul> <li>Attainment for PP children is below Non-PP in Reading, Writing and Maths</li> <li>Year 1 achieving ARE or above end of year data Summer 2022-Maths-difference of 39% Reading- difference of 34% -Writing-difference of 47%</li> <li>Year 2 achieving ARE or above end of year data Summer 2022-Maths difference of 43% Reading, difference of 16% Writing</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Maths-difference of 42% Reading- difference of 16% -Writing-difference of 8%</li> <li>Year 3 achieving ARE or above end of year data Summer 2022-Maths-difference of 62% Reading- difference of 66% -Writing-difference of 55%</li> <li>Year 4 achieving ARE or above end of year data Summer 2022-Maths-difference of 23% Reading- difference of 8% -Writing-difference of 29%</li> <li>Year 5 achieving ARE or above end of year data Summer 2022-Maths-difference of 52% Reading- difference of 52% -Writing-difference of 37%</li> </ul>
3	Some of our disadvantaged pupils have poor mental health due to ACEs and challenging home lives. Some of our disadvantaged families suffer high anxiety. We currently have 14 children (27%) that are on our vulnerable list to offer internal and external support.
4	17 children (31%) are PP and SEND 15 children (27%) are PP and EAL
5	PP attendance at clubs is 22% and attendance on trips is low.

### **Intended outcomes**

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for by the end of our current strategy plan, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

Intended outcome	Success criteria
Outcomes in RWM Combined	The percentage of pupils who attain ARE RWM at the end of KS2 is above national disadvantaged and closer to national non-disadvantaged figures

	The percentage of pupils who attain ARE+ (High Score/GD) RWM at the end of KS2 is above national disadvantaged and closer to national non-disadvantaged figures
Outcomes in the Year 1 Phonics Screening	The percentage of disadvantaged Year 1 pupils who pass the PSC is in line with Non-PP
Improve attendance of disadvantaged pupils	Attendance of disadvantaged pupils is >95.5% and the gap between all pupils and disadvantaged pupils is no >1%
Improve attendance of clubs and trips across school	Attendance of disadvantaged pupils at clubs is 50% (increasing from 22%) and attendance at trips is >95%

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) this academic year to address the challenges listed above.

### **Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)**

Budgeted cost: £ 41559

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Class teachers will target and prioritise PP pupils through QFT and make use of specific immediate feedback that will accelerate progress and therefore close the attainment gap.  TA support for pupils in class £34300 Response intervention for Reading, Writing, Maths	In-house evidence that supports the need for action: Improved attainment is imperative for some pupils (especially those pupils who are also SEND or have the potential to attain High Score/GD)  Research evidence that supports approach: EEF: Feedback +6 Feedback   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	2, 4
Develop teaching and learning in English across the school to improve progress  Purchasing external specialist support for staff to develop writing sequences £4800	In-house evidence that supports the need for action: End of previous year's outcomes in Writing indicates that accelerated progress is needed and therefore improved attainment is imperative for some pupils (especially those pupils who are also SEND or have the potential to attain High Score/GD)	2, 4
Purchase high quality phonics and early reading resources in line with Bug Club Phonics Scheme £459 word mats/frieze £2000 books	In-house evidence that supports the need for action: End of year outcomes in phonics and reading indicate that accelerated progress is needed.  Research evidence that supports approach: EEF Toolkit: Phonics +5	2, 4

Phonics   EEF	
(educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	

## Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)

Budgeted cost: £17471

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Plan and deliver interventions to target reading fluency and comprehension Rapid read training for all staff 1 to 1 readers £17,150	In-house evidence that supports the need for action:  School assessments, which are carried out once a term, show that some children have lower fluency than expected for their ages. This limits their independent reading choice and the ability to access texts within different subject areas.  Some children do not read regularly at home which is monitored weekly.  Research evidence that supports approach:  EEF: Teaching Assistant Interventions +4  Making Best Use of Teaching Assistants    EEF   (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)   1:1 or small group targeted interventions show a strong positive benefit	2, 4
Plan and deliver interventions to develop understanding of key Maths skills. Number Stacks resources bought Number stacks training for all Staff to deliver Number stack interventions to target children £321	In-house evidence that supports the need for action: Half termly assessments identify gaps in children's understanding of key maths skills Research evidence that supports approach: EEF: Teaching Assistant Interventions +4  Making Best Use of Teaching Assistants LEEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) – 1:1 or small group targeted interventions show a strong positive benefit	2, 4

## Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing

Budgeted cost: £ 20195

Activity	Evidence that supports this approach	Challenge number(s) addressed
Target parents of pupils whose attendance is below 95% and formulate action plans; school to agree to support level and parents to agree to plan.  Monthly meetings to monitor home visits and breakfast club places offered.  Pastoral support in place for families which need it.  £8575  EWO support with home visits and formal meetings.	In-house evidence that supports the need for action: 2021-22 attendance for disadvantaged pupils 91.07%; Non PP pupils 92.87% Research evidence that supports approach: EEF: Parental engagement +4 Working with Parents to Support Children's Learning   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	1, 2, 4
£2120  All children included in enrichment activities. Opportunities for all to develop and enhance skills. Subsidies considered on individual basis e.g payments for swimming, residential trips, wrap around care etc £9500 3 spaces per club funded for PP	In-house evidence that supports the need for action:  Some parents do not have the finances for children to take part in trips, swimming, after school clubs etc.  Research evidence that supports approach:  EEF: Physical Activity +1 small but positive impact linked to physical activity and academic attainment – some evidence to show a link between p/a and attendance and retention  Physical activity   EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)	2, 5
Target pupils who have lower self-esteem and who are	In-house evidence that supports the need for action:	3, 2

Disadvantaged pupils identified as potentially vulnerable in terms needing additional input re. self-esteem, of good mental emotional well-being. health and well-In Autumn 2022 20 PP children (36% of being; PP) were referred for weekly Nurture provide weekly sessions with our Nurture lead. pastoral sessions Mentor Link -Research evidence that supports trained counsellor to approach: lead sessions. EEF: Behaviour intervention +4 Specialised programmes which are targeted at students with specific behavioural issues Improving Behaviour in Schools | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk) SEL Social and emotional learning +4 Social and emotional learning | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)

Total budgeted cost: £ 79,225

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## **Pupil premium strategy outcomes**

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

Outcomes of RWM combined	Y2 – 38%of PP children achieved ARE or above Y6- 33% of PP children achieved ARE or above
Outcomes of Reading	Y2 – 63%of PP children achieved ARE or above Y6- 67% of PP children achieved ARE or above
Outcomes of Writing	Y2 – 50%of PP children achieved ARE or above Y6- 33% of PP children achieved ARE or above
Outcomes of Maths	Y2 – 38%of PP children achieved ARE or above Y6- 67% of PP children achieved ARE or above
Outcomes in EYFS	67% of PP children achieved GLD
Attendance of disadvantaged pupils	PP attendance 88.35% is compared to 93.76% for Non-PP. Persistent absence for PP children is 40%  Therefore, attendance remains a priority.